

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,340	10/15/2004	Mathias Binder	5305-11PUS	2366
7590 03/17/2006			EXAMINER	
Thomas C Pontani			GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL	
Cohen Pontani I	Lieberman & Pavene			
551 Fifth Avenu	1e	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1210			3749	
New York, NY 10176			DATE MAILED: 03/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u>.</u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/511,340	BINDER, MATHIAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen Gravini	3749			
 The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply 	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by a Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a sum. Heriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 October 2004.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 10-19 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>10-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	· · · · ·	-			
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority docur 	ments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docur					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)	-				
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94i		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
 Rotice of Braisperson's Patent Brawing Neview (P10-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20041015</u>. 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/511,340

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-13, 16 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Todd-Reeve (US 3,868,835).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,340

Art Unit: 3749

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Todd-Reeve in view of Goettel (US 4,744,379). Todd-Reeve is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as rejected above, except for the claimed removable carrier and transporting device. Goettel, another drying system, is considered to disclose a removable carrier and transporting device at column 3 line 46 through column 4 line 53. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Todd-Reeve, with the removable carrier and transporting device, considered disclosed by Goettel, for the allowing movement of parts to be cleaned and dried to and from the drying system.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Todd-Reeve in view of Alexnian (US 5,320,121). Todd-Reeve is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as rejected above, except for the claimed substantial horizontal spray. Alexnian, another cleaning device, is considered to disclose a substantial horizontal spray at column 2 line 51 through column 4 line 60. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Todd-Reeve, with the substantial horizontal spray, considered disclosed by Alexnian, for the allowing an operator to direct spray onto a washing area.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 571 272 4875. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,340 Page 4

Art Unit: 3749

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Gravini

SMG March 13, 2006